



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

## PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

Monday, September 14th, 2020

ALDC Third Floor Conference Room

**Meeting called by** Rose Nyman, Chairperson  
**Type of meeting** Public Hearing / Monthly Meeting  
**Minutes taken by** Carlye Hansen

**Members Present:** Rose Nyman, Chairperson; John Lombardi, Vice-Chair; Frank Fitzpatrick; Bob Wren; Craig Sweet; Annette Smith; Colleen Riley; Mary Kae Eldridge; Art Villasenor

**Members Absent:** None

**Staff:** Carl Hamming, Planning Director; Gayla Hess, Planner I; Carlye Hansen, Planning Department Secretary

**Guests Present:** See sign-in sheet and electronic call-in log

## AGENDA TOPICS

### Call to Order

Meeting was called to order at 6:00 pm by Rose Nyman, Chairperson, with Roll Call done by Carlye Hansen, Planning Department Secretary.

At this point, Ms. Nyman wanted to take a moment and introduce Colleen Riley, the newest member of the Planning Board. She is going to fill the Conservation Seat for two years, but is not representing the Conservation District. Colleen stated that she is happy to be here and thanked everyone for the work that has been done and she is excited to be part of the group.

### Approval of Minutes

July 20<sup>th</sup>, 2020

*Motion was made by Annette Smith to approve the minutes from July 20th, 2020, with changes as noted; seconded by Bob Wren. Motion passes 9-0.*

Colleen brought up that she was connected to the meeting on July 20<sup>th</sup>, and then lost her connection, and during the time that she was disconnected, she did miss a vote. Her question was where she stood as far as members present or not present when disconnected. Carlye explained that when she was disconnected, it was through the time in which a vote was taken, however, it was noted within the minutes that there was a quorum and this was okay to move forward.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

## Public Hearing

PUBLIC HEARING on a Major Development Permit request by Gary Chilcott to construct and operate a 110-space, full-service RV resort featuring a camp lodge, rental casitas and a caretaker (manager) residence. The resort will be located to the north of Deer Lodge Drive at the northern terminus of Polk Street in Anaconda. Property is legally described as:

1. INDUSTRIAL PARK, S02, T04 N, R11 W, BLOCK 3, Lot AMENDED 3A, COS 292A
2. S02, T04 N, R11 W, ACRES 1.54, RR LOADING & UNLOADING YARD
3. INDUSTRIAL PARK, S02, T04 N, R11 W, BLOCK 3, Lot 2
4. INDUSTRIAL PARK, S02, T04 N, R11 W, BLOCK 3, Lot 1

## Staff Report

Carl Hamming, Planning Director, reviewed and presented the staff report put together by he and his office. There are recommendations of approval being asked for by the Planning Department (*please see attached*).

## Questions from the Board

Bob Wren – Mr. Wren asked for clarification from Carl in regards to Item #6 (Utilities and Services), in which says that Sarah Jones, of Deluxe Engineering, is reviewing the Municipal Facilities Exemption. Carl stated that for DEQ approval, because they are tapping into city services, it falls under the category for Municipal Facilities Exemption, so there is a certain checklist that Sarah Jones, who is the primary engineer working with the petitioner, as well as the DEQ and what they require as far as making sure there is capacity for storm water, making sure there is enough water going through the water main, etc. Mr. Garrison may touch upon some of the agreements that will be executed by the County in order to be approved by the Planning Board and the Commission. This is just some of what the DEQ is reviewing, and then an actual second engineer will need to sign off on Sarah's work as well. Mr. Wren then asked that with this being in an industrial area, will there need to be a fence, or security fence, and will this be chain link or slab fence. Carl states that he does not know the details of the fencing and was just informed that there would be a 6' security fence.

Frank Fitzpatrick - Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if Mr. Chilcott is representing the ALDC as an agent. Carl explained that they are representing themselves and his own interest and that ALDC has authorized them to pursue this application, in the meantime, while they work through the legalities of the purchase. He then verified that the RV Park does not own the property as of this time.

## Applicant Report

Gary Chilcott is the developer of this project. He states that he has lived in the area for about thirty years, in Deer Lodge primarily, was a school principal, and he taught school there before that. He has an RV Park in Boise, ID, that he purchased a few years ago and he flew Jim Davison and Bill Everett down to the park and they reviewed that one and reviewed several parks in the area to see the difference between good and bad parks. His park down there, he states, is the nicest one in the area. With this park, they are going to have pavement in the front half of it, whereas most parks don't have this. He is stating that he is going



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

to have a first class park which a lot of folks in the summertime travel around from golf course to golf course, and they are not driving 30-year old rigs and travel trailers. You have to have a pretty nice park to accommodate what is out there now so they will come and golf. It is a really win-win situation for the golf course as we will bring in folks who haven't been able to be here as you have a 40-ft motor home and a trailer behind you and there are not many parks to accommodate this. This summer, there have been more sales of motorhomes than ever in the history as long as there have been records on motorhomes due to the Covid-19. Right now there is a lot of demand. The parks in Deer Lodge and around this area have been full. We are basically the halfway point between Glacier Park and Yellowstone Park so this is a good spot for a place like this. It is not situated along the highway where you have just a lot of off the road traffic. This will be a Destination Park, and folks will be here because they want to golf or hang out in the area. They are working through Good Sam's to have them schedule and bring visitors to the area. This is also a trail from Mexico to Canada, so for hikers, there will be shower rooms and tent spaces for those folks to take a rest before they move onto the next leg of their jaunt through the mountains. Mr. Chilcott states that he has been wanting to buy the land, and he spent \$200,000.00 on paperwork to satisfy all the engineers on land that he didn't own, but unfortunately, he cannot purchase the land until the conveyance is squared around through DEQ and all of the other issues that are out there. They are working on it, but these things take a very long time and now that it is close to being done, the park will have almost \$2M into it by the time this is completed. With winter coming, he would like something in the ground as far as rentals. They are hoping to start working the first of October until freezing.

He gave an overview of the park and the surrounding area and infrastructure. There will be a manager's house in another area, as the rest of the park cannot be lived on for more than 90-days. The manager will need to have a house to live on permanently, so there will be a small space outside of the Waste Management Area. At this time, he is only going to put 3 to 4 cabins in to start with. They are designed as an efficiency apartment. Some folks who are traveling without motor homes and want to stay down by the golf course, this is where they can stay. The new road to the golf course will be coming right by the RV Park to get to the golf course. As the cabins prove themselves, they will then add more cabins as deemed appropriate. Eventually, they hope to have about 10 cabins. The entire park will be set up in the fall and then it will be paved first thing in the spring of 2021 so in April and/or May, they can begin accepting guests. They are putting slabs in for the garage and the house this fall and then those will be constructed during the spring also. They are hoping all the infrastructure will be completed by then.

## Questions from the Board

Bob Wren - Bob Wren asked about phasing. Mr. Chilcott stated that they will do several cabins and that half this year, and the second half next year. He verified that this will be built according to the specs. There have been timing constraints which have necessitated this being done partly this year and then again in the spring. The infrastructure will be set up on a dual loop, and the west will be done now and be ready for paving in the spring, and then the infrastructure will be put in for the east side, and will put gravel for the time being, and will pave that next spring. Carl Hamming confirms that there will be one universal approval of the project and that they will have to phase the construction of this due to the weather constraints. Mr. Wren asked if they will be able to operate one side while working on the other and Carl stated that they would be able to work out some sort of a phased occupancy approval.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

Rose Nyman - Rose asked Mr. Garrison if he had anything to say. He states that the will-serve agreements have been outlined, as well as the responsibilities and the cost reimbursement to the County for the plans and the process to satisfy various departments for the Municipal Facilities Exemption. They are working with Sarah Jones, Paul Puccinelli, and Wayne Wendt, to get the language correct on documents. There was a template that they developed as there wasn't an existing one so there was one that was used, and Mr. Chilcott has a Waste Water Treatment Plant and Water District in North Dakota, so they just put that language and made modifications through the attorneys and they are waiting on one question to be answered by DEQ before these can be executed and that was just regarding ownership of the land so the way that ADLC would like to see this and Mr. Chilcott would like to see this is that he retains all lines that are on the property as well as the 'shut off's and metering right off of the water main. The only questions would be that because they are running a 6-inch loop through there and then pulling individual services off per space, they just thought there was some gray area on whether or not this would be classified as a main, so if that does come back that they would like to see the county have ownership, then that is something where they would talk about having a maintenance agreement with ADLC where the responsibility would come back to Gary in regards to maintenance of these lines.

Frank Fitzpatrick - Frank asked if this is the same water line that feeds the Warm Springs State Hospital. Joshua states that this particular main does come across the property but the RV Park will actually be working over the top of that. There was extensive water modeling based off of the water modeling that was done by Copper Environmental.

## Proponents to the Project

Adam Vauthier, Executive Director of the Anaconda Local Development Corporation

Mr. Vauthier sent a letter to Carl Hamming, who read this letter on behalf of Mr. Vauthier. He, overall, stated that this will be a huge boost to the tourism, economy, and golf course.

Steve Murphy, 1102 East 6th Street, Anaconda

Mr. Murphy stated that he gives 100% support for the proposed RV Park and feels that this is exactly the type of economic development that ADLC needs. ADLC should offer any and all incentives to make this project happen. His other comments are: 1) The site is great for the golf course, but the RV Park should be granted a sign variance to allow a tall sign that will be clearly visible from both directions of Hwy 1, 2) I certainly hope that the plan includes Four Seasons utilities. The reason why this is important is because winter occupancy can greatly enhance visitor traffic to Discovery Ski Area among other winter activities. It may also be possible to run a shuttle bus from the RV Park to and from the ski area. This may also encourage Dee Motors or someone else to start a rental car business as a lot of RV owners do not tow cars and/or they don't want to disconnect to make a trip.

Geri Francisco-Wyant, 925 Pauline Loop, Anaconda

She thinks this is very exciting for the community and she thinks that this is very encouraging.

## Opponents to the Project

None



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

## Discussion of the Board

John Lombardi would just like to comment that this would be right next to what was proposed as the Cottages at the Old Works, and that it just seemed to vanish and wet away. He is just curious what is going to happen if this doesn't materialize.

*Motion was made by Art Villasenor to approve the Major Development Permit request by Gary Chilcott of the Anaconda RV Resort with the Board Conditions that are listed in the staff report and to move this forward to the ADLC Commission; seconded by Annette Smith. Motion passes 9-0.*

## **New Business**

1. David and Wendy Elias – The applicants are requesting a 30-foot in width easement across a parkland dedicated area on Pauline Loop for utilities and access for future development of Lot 1A.

## Staff Report

Gayla Hess, Planning II, reviewed and presented the staff report put together by she and her office. The department reached out to several other departments. The Water Department did make note that a 10' separation is required between storm mainlines and the water mainlines. There was concern about that in regard to facilities within this area. We also received comment from Parks and Recreation where they are not in support of this easement through the park. They did receive comment from the Police Department, but they had no specific concerns in regards to the proposed request. We did receive several letters of opponent from the neighbors, which are included in the agenda packet and are linked and available online. At this time the Planning Department recommends that the Planning Board does NOT send a recommendation of approval to the Commission for the physical and utility easement across the Teresa Ann Terrace block 2 Park.

## Questions from the Board

Bob Wren - Mr. Wren asked about the history of Lot 1A. He asked whether or not the county accessed this in a land swap deal and as to what caused the land lock of this property. There was extensive discussion regarding the history, the land swap involving the County, and the Assembly of God Church, access of properties from the cul-de-sacs, and easements. There was extensive discussion in regards to this and there is extensive documentation in regards to this within the agenda packet.

John Lombardi - Mr. Lombardi just wanted to clarify that an easement is what permits utility folks to come in and do what is needed, but basically what is being done when all is done, it will still be part of the property with lines on a plat that state that this will be part of an easement and they will run 30-ft wide to Pauline Loop to Christine Court. Gayla stated that as long as they are requesting a utility and physical access, if a 30-ft easement were granted as requested, they could put a 30-ft driveway through that park space.

Frank Fitzpatrick - Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if this was a dedicated park space. Gayla confirmed that it is a dedicated subdivision park.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

Art Villasenor - Mr. Villasenor asked if this park was maintained by the County. Gayla confirmed that the County does mow this. Art asked about watering and landscaping. Gayla was not sure about this. He wanted to know what designates this as a park and Gayla reiterated that this is a subdivision park

## Applicant Report

Mr. David Elias just wanted to thank all involved in tonight's meeting to present all of this information. Mr. Elias is trusting that all the members of the board have the property issue as it was presented it to the Planning Department.

At this time, Mr. Elias did an extensive presentation in regards to this property and the history of the property and the properties around this area. He also discussed extensively the reasons for which he is looking for this 30-ft easement through this parkland space.

## Questions from the Board

Craig Sweet - Craig Sweet stated that it was deemed that the Board of Commissioners vacated the public park, but he sees nothing in the packets, and he feels that there should be a public record somewhere that this actually occurred, and he said they should have a copy of what they did and how they took their action. His second questions is why are we here then if that is not a park. Everything that he sees states that this is parkland dedication and that Mr. Elias is looking through an easement through this. If this is not a park, then why are we here even talking about it. He wants to get an idea of what is really going on. Mr. Elias stated that he has the information, then he states that maybe they should just table the action and he can definitely forward that information to the staff at the courthouse and get the information to the board out of the Commission Book #29, Page 118. He cannot answer as to why the Board did not receive this.

Frank Fitzpatrick - Mr. Fitzpatrick asked who owns the property currently. There is a lot of confusion in regards to this, as there is a landlocked situation with many entities involved. There is also the issue as to whether or not this land was vacated by the County in the past. Again, very extensive conversation was held in regards to this and the documents noted on the agenda were referred to extensively

Craig Sweet - Mr. Sweet wanted to ask the staff a question. He understands that the Assembly of God had the County vacate the park and other land for sale and they never did anything with this property. He would think that this would null and void any vacation of the property, in his estimation and that this is just a thought.

Colleen Riley - Ms. Riley asked the question of exactly what is the land used for? She asked what activities occur at the park at this time. Mr. Elias stated deer grazing, rabbits grazing, dogs use it, there are a few kids in the neighborhood that go and play occasionally. Normally, the grass gets about knee high by the end of the summer. Ms. Riley stated that she has driven by and there is a park on the other end of Teresa Ann Terrace that is used by quite a few kids actually. She has driven by at different times of the day and weekends, as well as weekdays, and she has not seen anyone on that property. Mr. Elias stated that Tom Krumm is out with his dogs pretty regularly. He states that he, himself, walks around it once in a while. He feels that the problem is that this is not green other than the spring and that this is not developed.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

Gayla Hess - Ms. Hess wanted to follow-up on the comment by Craig Sweet in regard to minutes that he did not find in his packet. She then referred to Page 118 of Book #29 of the ADLC Commission meeting minutes on Wednesday, October 10<sup>th</sup>, 1984. "The Assembly of God Church has requested to exchange Lot 1 of Block 2 in Teresa Ann Terrace Subdivision, now owned by the church, or a similar sized portion of the Public Park area, just East of Lot :27 and North of Lot 37. The result of this exchange would give the church a better layout area for their construction without diminishing the Public Park area of the subdivision. It would be the responsibility of the Church to prepare the surveys and deeds necessary for the transaction. Commissioner Blaz moved for approval of the land transfer, Commissioner Kuffner seconded this, and the motion passed unanimously".

Art Villasenor - Mr. Villasenor just wanted to verify that in order to make sense of this all, he just wanted to be sure that he understood the easement would come from the lot of Mr. Elias. Mr. Elias stated that this was the original intent until the power box got planted in the middle of the 30 foot space.

## PUBLIC COMMENT

### Tom Krumm, 505 Pauline Court

Mr. Krumm stated that Mr. Elias says that he doesn't like to be hijacked, but he does too. Mr. Krumm was the first one to every build down in that area and all of his surveys came out with the park that went East and West, and he had his pick of the lot, whatever he wanted, and he chose the lot he is on due to the park being behind it. Come to find out, Mr. Elias now owns this and Mr. Krumm had no idea there was any sort of a switch like that. He says that Dave is trying to sell this and now Mr. Krumm has found out that the lot has gone east to west to north to south. He states that Dave said that the County will need to drive up this to serve the sewer line and Mr. Krumm states this is not true because in the cul-de-sac, there is a 30-ft easement from his property to his property, and this is where the lines run and that is the easement for the cul-de-sac and for everyone to access these lines. He says that it is all grass now, as no one has ever used it so there is grass and it all looks nice, but there is an easement between our property from the cul-0de-sac to get to that manhole cover that he is talking about. He says there is no reason for anyone to drive up that park and that if Mr. Elias wants water up there, he has no problem giving him water access, but other side of Teresa Ann has their greenway and it is very nice, and is undeveloped right now. He states that he has been watering 30-ft on his own dime trying to green up the grass. He thinks that the park and the residences have been turned around so many times that to get him a road through the park doesn't make too much sense. Mr. Krumm did drive on it to do his thing and he purchased 75-lbs of grass seed and planted it and no one seemed to have any issues and there are certainly no ruts there. There was a track there, but he, himself planted the grass. Rose Nyman asked Mr. Krumm if the park was ever utilized when there were younger kids there. The kids did run around a little bit, but it was undeveloped. He again stated that there is an easement between the two properties off the cul-de-sac to access this area. He states that this could be a good area down there, but to put a road through there makes no sense to him at all. He stated that when Dave bought that piece of property, he knew exactly what he was getting into. Now, he wants to unload it and have the County give him a road into this.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

## Doug Linstead, 903 Pauline Loop, Anaconda

Mr. Linstead that he has only lived here about two years and he comes here tonight with an interesting story, in which he was putting in his shop, Mr. Elias offered to sell me the ground that is the open space for the park. Instead of using my ground for my shop, he offered to sell me the parkland space. After doing some research and going through some documents through folks, the issue came up about the land abandonment, so there was some confusion and this never transpired. However, he states that this is what happened to he and his wife as his own and it has been very awkward. The reason why he was interested in the house that he is in is because it was his father-in-law's house and also because it had open space behind it. This offer will affect his quality life, as well as his property value. He finds it very hard to believe that whomever developed the subdivision gave up land willingly. That is all developed into Subdivision Law where essentially certain amounts of ground have to be left as open space. It is not something that someone did because they were being nice, there had to be some trade there or some sort of opportunity. Basically, when you do a subdivision, in his layman terms, you need to leave a certain amount of open space. He thinks that maintaining open spots as they were intended is very important and he would like to think that there has to be another way for Mr. Elias to access his lot and hoping that he is open to ideas, but it looks like a lot of landscaping and a lot of out buildings and a lot of things that went onto his property and that has made this open space parkland very difficult.

## Roberta Linstead, 903 Pauline Loop, Anaconda

Ms. Linstead states that there were a lot of open lots there and that Mr. Waite owns several with New Horizons and he pretty much owns everything that is open within that area other than the lots that Mr. and Mrs. Elias have behind their house. NPE is selling out, but it has been a long time since she has discussed in regards to this, but she is hoping that all received a letter that was sent from him in opposition, feeling that there has been some trespass on his property, as well. If he is selling out and the lots that he is selling, there will be some major development going on in that neighborhood, and it is really, really important to keep that open space, and she would prefer if this is no longer a named park anymore, that the County put that park label back on this and maybe think about developing and maintaining this as he has five or six lots within this space. She feels that there is a pretty good chance that there will be a lot of housing developed in that area, kids are involved, and that the open space is needed in that park there. She would prefer not to see any road going down the middle of this and having traffic going back and forth there. To her, it is about what is best for the neighborhood, not one individual resident in the neighborhood. Rose Nyman asked if they have a Homeowner's Association there and Roberta stated that they do not. Mr. Lombardi asked if the manhole in question is built up or recessed into the ground and Mr. Krumm stated that it is leveled down to service level.

At this time, more extensive discussion took place between the staff, the Board, and Mr. Elias in regards to infrastructure in this area. As he states this, the area is a utility nightmare.

Rose has stated that what has been noted to the public is not 10' water easement and that this would require this coming before the board again as another agenda topic. He basically would need to apply again and would need to go through the process again.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

Colleen Riley stated that she finds this to have been a real interesting conversation and she has learned a lot about easements and park designation. She is concerned about taking green space that is dedicated to an area and making it so that it is not useable, so she would like the Board to come up with multiple solutions that may not work with this motion, but for future recommendations for a park in this area, as it is beautiful space, is landlocked, and would be a wonderful space to put some play equipment for kids, but this is also the one access point it sounds like for water. She states that she is really conflicted.

Craig Sweet then asked if Chairperson, Rose Nyman, would entertain a motion to table this to the next meeting so that there can be more consideration given and to look at any other options. Ms. Nyman stated that she believed that anyone can make this motion.

Art Villasenor then asked about the waterline easement and where the water department stood in regards to a water line easement on this. Gayla stated that she did not propose this when she reached out to the department heads and she did not receive any feedback from anyone in regards to this. Rose Nyman stated that they need to move forward cautiously due to water lines being such a tricky subject.

Colleen Riley asked why there cannot be a motion as to where the city takes and waters this area and develop it so that it can be an area for kid, with picnic tables for the people around this area. She agrees also that she hates to see a line going through there because even if it were okay now, how about several years down the road when it may become a problem for someone else.

*Motion is made by Bob Wren to deny the recommendation to the Commission for the 30-ft easement across the parkland to Pauline Loop for utilities and access for future development for Lot A; seconded by Frank Fitzpatrick. Motion passes 7-0, with two members abstaining from the vote, being John Lombardi, and Annette Smith.*

At this time, it was suggested that Mr. Elias brings back this request as a 10-ft water easement and a 20' construction easement,

## 2. Ordinance Establishing Sign Regulations for Anaconda-Deer Lodge County

Rose Nyman gave a brief history of the ADLC Sign Ordinance. This, for whatever reason, somehow never made it into the ordinance codification and no caught the error until the last few years. We are currently without a sign ordinance and have been for several years. She discovered this well over two years ago and has asked at different times where the county stands on this. The ordinance attached is the old ordinance that has been marked up a little bit. The thought was to reach out to the community such as the Chamber of Commerce and businesses to see how they feel about this, but the Planning Board needs to determine if they want to move this forward. There are several applications before the Urban Renewal Agency Board wanting some grant funds for signage and we have respectfully asked them to delay their plans until we see if we adopt a sign ordinance. She will point out that there is interest in the community promoting tourism and signage is part of that, so she is just asking what the thoughts of the board are at this time.

There is discussion in regards to this amongst the Board in regards to grants, wordage, etc., in regards to a sign ordinance, and what are the requirements now vs the requirements with a sign ordinance.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

There was then discussion about whether signs should be a permitted use. Normally, one would not really see the point in this, however, Carlye spoke up, stating that she felt, as well as she thinks John Markin would feel in regards to this as a safety precaution as with the anchorage and stability of signs, and especially if they were to fall over or fall off of a building, etc. She feels that a permitting process is definitely needed with the signs.

At this time, Carl Hamming thought that this would just be brought up for discussion with the Planning Board to see if this was something they were interested in pursuing and with the general support of it, he thinks that it would be good to seek public input, perhaps through the Chamber of Commerce and the business owners, just to get their feedback to see what they would think in regards to guidelines. He is just looking for a little bit of a more polished document, fine-tune of some the editing and formatting and then bring this back before the Planning Board so they can make the ultimate recommendation to the Commission for formal adoption and to take effect if they so desire.

Craig Sweet asked who is primarily doing sign making in this area. Carlye really didn't know, other than perhaps AFFCO, there are several sign companies out of Butte, and she knows that the signage at the casino on the east end of town was from farther out of town than Butte. Ms. Riley feels that those companies that do this sort of work would be familiar with communities that have sign ordinances and that having their input would be important, but it shouldn't come as a surprise that Anaconda is looking at something like this. Craig said we may be surprised as what the futures of signs may look like,

The only notation made was from Art Villasenor who asked if we are going to grandfather in previous businesses and the signs that they currently have and he encouraged the Board to look at this.

This was an informational topic this evening and, therefore, no motion or vote was needed at this time.

## MISCELLANEOUS

1. Matters from the Staff  
None

2. Matters from the Board  
Frank Fitzpatrick - Mr. Fitzpatrick asked what the status is on the sewage disposal brought before the Board by Glen Wyant and Matt Smith. This was denied by the Commission.

Art Villasenor - Mr. Villasenor asked what the process will now be moving forward with the park and whether the Board has anything to say in regards to entertaining the idea that this will become a park. Mr. Hamming said that it would depend on whether or not they reestablish the designation of this becoming a park? Carl stated that it will depend on whether or not they will be interested in reestablishing the designation of this being parkland. It is very unclear as to whether the portion that the County still retains, and if it lost its designation of a park. Right now there is a lot of question on who exactly does and doesn't own this land and whether or not this is still considered designated parkland. Rose asked if this was considered a park within the Growth Policy. Gayla stated that it is. Colleen Riley states that it is time to get this formalized.

Again, much more extensive discussion took place in regards to this topic.



# Anaconda-Deer Lodge County Planning Department

Colleen Riley - Ms. Riley asked if there were any other options in regards to pursuing a different means of communication for the Planning Board meetings such as WebEx? She states that the last meeting was a very important meeting for folks that were on the phone, as well as the folks in the audience and this was not audible at all, so even if the Board Members were on the phone, it would have been really heard without the packets and without the benefit of a conversation to have fully participated. It is very difficult when you cannot hear the conversation. She would like options for and to include the public in a much more broad way.

Rose and the rest of the Board were very happy with the way that the packets were handled this month and with the online access. Carlye verified that they still want the paper packets to bring to the actual meeting, although they will be diminished and more of the information will be on-line through links.

## Next Meeting Date

TBD

## Adjournment

*Motion was made to adjourn the meeting by Art Villasenor; seconded by Annette Smith.  
Motion passes 9-0.*

Meeting was adjourned at 7:58 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

*Carlye Hansen*

Carlye Hansen, Planning Department Secretary

Approved November 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020